

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/725,632	Applicant(s) SIGURJONSSON ET AL.	
	Examiner Kim M. Lewis	Art Unit 3772	

All Participants:

(1) Kim M. Lewis.

(2) Justin Cassell (attorney).

Date of Interview: 22 July 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 2, 3, and 22

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 4:30 pm

/Kim M. Lewis/
 Primary Examiner
 AU 3772

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner telephoned applicant's attorney in order to discuss a proposed amendment to claims 1-3 and 22 to place the application in condition for allowance. The examiner suggested deleting the phrase "sheet-like" from claims 1 and 22 because the phrase is indefinite. The examiner also suggested amending claims 2-3 to recite --wherein the plurality of apertures arranged in the pattern vary--. Applicant's attorney agreed to the changes.